



Operationalizing T+1 Pulse survey findings (Sep 2023)

Operationalizing T+1 in September 2023



With 8 months remaining before the transition to T+1 settlement cycles in the USA and Canada, how, where and when are we preparing to remove a day from our trade processing?

Led by **DTCC** and **TMX**, with the support of AFME, ASIFMA, EFAMA and ISITC, this ValueExchange survey sets out to explain how the world is preparing for T+1 today. Building on the unique insights gained during our January 2023 survey, this is intended to provide a picture of how our preparation journey is evolving - through practical, statistical insights that can directly contribute to your own thinking.

This document summarises the **key statistical findings** of our campaign. If you would like to benchmark you own T+1 plans then please complete our global survey using the QR code below. If you would like to discuss your preparations further, please contact us at info@thevalueexchange.co

Who participated in our campaign?

As a truly industry-wide survey, we have benefited from the insights of over **306 specialists across the investment-cycle globally so far**. These respondents are broken down as follows:



Operationalizing T+1 in September 2023: Key headlines



How ready are we?	Where are our risks?	What lies ahead?	
73% of respondents are now mobilizing as they prepare for T+1 – an increase of 22% versus January 2023	38% Securities lending and borrowing remains a key concern, with 38% of respondents still unclear on their plans for timings around lending	66% Investors are struggling to realize many of their core projects. 66% of their projects still have no close date, especially in funding and balance sheet management	
40% of institutional investors are still in the research and scoping phases of T+1	18% Blockages on T+1 are no longer about settlements: up to 18% of respondents are blocked in their T+1 preparations by issues around funding and SBL	8% of all T+1 projects are expected to be completed after May 2024	
75% Whilst 14% more European investors have begun to engage on T+1, there has been little movement in readiness amongst Asian investors – 75% of whom still have yet to begin preparations	33% Readiness to affirm declines as we move eastwards, with 33% of Asian respondents unclear about whether they will affirm US trades after the move to T+1	40% Only 40% of investors and custodians plan to run end-to-end testing (including brokers, etc.) before May 2024	



How ready are we for T+1?

72% of the industry is mobilizing around T+1 but a quarter is still in planning stages



Where are we working on T+1?

No signs of investor momentum in Asia but Europe is progressing





Where is T+1 impacting us?

T+1 is a middle office and funding issue, especially for investors



Where is T+1 hitting?

Hiał



Where is T+1 impacting us?

Trade processing in North America, Funding and Middle office in Europe and Asia





What do we expect to do on day one?

Significant readiness around key market timings – yet and only 55% know their SBL plans





What will happen to trade affirmations?

Less clarity and more resistance in Europe and Asia-Pacific: Causing risk for US brokers



Investors' project plans are very open-ended – are we already planning to be late?

T+1 project activity

(Average distribution of project work by time-frame, by segment)



Investors' T+1 Project Activity

T+1 is an enterprise project – but FX/funding projects are as needed as settlement changes



Intermediaries T+1 Project Activity

(Average distribution of projects)



Differing agendas: custodians and brokers are focusing on end-to-end process transformation whilst investors hire and standardize

	Ranking of each solution (based on % of respondents with projects in each area)	Highest-ranking solutions for investors	
	Hiring more staff		
Investors: hiring, standardizing and	Standardization of Trade data (using FIX / SWIFT formats)		
removing batches	Increase in batches / moving to real time processing		
	Increase in batches / moving to real time processing		
	Moving processing (i.e. recall booking, inventory updates) to real time		
	Moving FX To my custodian		
	Changing FX broker (using 3rd party FX)	-	
	Deploying new MI tools (including AI) to monitor / predict settlement		
	Using alternative settlement and / or trading locations that offer T+2 $lacksquare$		
	Accepting cash penalties (for failing settlements)		
	Restructuring credit lines / arrangements		
	Changing (prime) brokers	-	
	Changing broker / agent lender (because of T+1)		
	Outsourcing our trade affirmations to our custodian / prime broker		
	Implementing automated recalls		
	Re-papering client agreements		
	Changing locations of trade processing (e.g. to West Coast / East		
	Middle / Back office system replacement		
	Use of a Centralized Matching Service Provider		
	Revising cash forecasting processes		
	Changing process to auto-affirmation		
Intermediaries: automating core	Using a centralized provider for SSI management		
	Implementing new record keeping systems to track time of		
processing	New system / data integration (in Middle / Back office)		
	Using CTMís Match to Instruct (M2i) workflow		

Highest-ranking solutions for intermediaries



Investors are struggling to realise complex projects – especially in funding and treasury

	Investor projects already scheduled			Investor projects still to be scheduled	
pular	Hiring more staff			Changing FX broker (using 3rd party FX)	
Most popular	Increase in batches / moving to real time processing (Reconciliations)	Most po		Moving FX To my custodian	
	Standardization of Trade data (using FIX / SWIFT formats)		Σ	Accepting cash penalties (for failing settlements)	
	Increase in batches / moving to real time processing (Corp Actions)			Restructuring credit lines / arrangements	
	Implementing automated recalls			Restructuring credit lines / arrangements	
	Using a centralized provider for SSI management			Re-papering client agreements	
	New system / data integration (in Middle / Back office)			Use of a Centralized Matching Service Provider	
Least popular	Using alternative settlement and / or trading locations that offer T+2 cycles for US stocks		Revising cash forecasting processes		
	Deploying new MI tools (including AI) to monitor / predict settlement issues		popular	Changing process to auto-affirmation	
	Implementing new record keeping systems to track time of affirmations			Changing locations of trade processing (e.g. to West Coast / Eas	
	Using CTM's Match to Instruct (M2i) workflow to auto-generate delivery		Least p	coast North America)	
	Moving processing (i.e. recall booking, inventory updates) to real time			Middle / Back office system replacement	

Why are we struggling?

Over 65% of investors and intermediaries are struggling with non-settlement issues: notably in funding and SBL

Investors' key challenges (% of respondents citing each issue, by impact) Differences in settlement cycles between 47% 18% US/Canada and other markets - for fund liquidity Executing on system changes / Dependencies on 15% 42% legacy technology Moving SBL recalls booking to TO 14% Moving FX booking from T+2 to T+1 and/or pre-47% 13% funding Meeting new timings for Affirmations, 11% Confirmations and Allocations Current market timings and deadlines (at 9% depository) Removing manual processing (internally and with client instructions) Securing subject matter expertise to support preparation Understanding the Confirm / Affirm process Understanding the cost/benefit and business case for preparing Blocking us from being ready Slowing our progress

Intermediaries' key challenges

(% of respondents citing each issue, by impact)



Where are we blocked from progressing?

Asia-Pacific facing the strongest challenges: Notably in accessing needed expertise



(% of respondents facing blocking issues by region)





Where can we accelerate readiness?

More trade-flow automation – a key enabler for over 70% of respondents



What are our testing plans?

Only one third of investors plan to run end-to-end tests before May 2024







X

This report has been prepared by The VX (Canada) Ltd. and is provided for information purposes only.

The information contained herein has been compiled from sources believed to be reliable, but, although all reasonable care has been taken to ensure that the information contained herein is not untrue or misleading, we make no representation that it is accurate or complete and it should not be relied upon as such. All opinions and estimates included herein constitute our judgment as at the date of this report and are subject to change without notice.

Unless we provide express prior written consent, no part of this report should be reproduced or distributed. We do not accept any liability if this report is used for an alternative purpose from which it is intended, nor to any third party in respect of this report.

This document must not be considered as an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any product, security or service.